BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. AC-2007-41 |
|--|-------------------------|
| JOHN GOODELL | OAH Case No. 2007110267 |
| 1425 Diver Park Drive Suite 400 | , |

Certified Public Accountant License No. 35366

Sacramento, California 95815

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the California Board of Accountancy as its Decision in this matter.

| This Decision shall | become | effe | ctive on | April | 28, | 2008 | |
|---------------------|--------|------|----------|-------|-----|------|--|
| | | | | - · | | | |
| It is so ORDERED | March | 28, | 2008 | | | | |

Dolla Dathier ACCOUNTANCY

| . | | | | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General | | | | | | |
| 2 | of the State of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART | | | | | | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General STERLING A. SMITH, State Bar No. 84287 | | | | | | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 | | | | | | |
| 5 | P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 | | | | | | |
| 6 | Telephone: (916) 323-3795 Facsimile: (916) 324-5567 | | | | | | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | | | | | | |
| 8 | BEFORE T | | | | | | |
| 9 | CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. AC-2007-41 | | | | | |
| 12 | JOHN GOODELL 1435 River Park Drive, Suite 400 | OAH Case No. 2007110267 | | | | | |
| 13 | Sacramento, California 95815 | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND | | | | | |
| 14 | Certified Public Accountant License No. 35366 | DISCIPLINARY ORDER | | | | | |
| 15 | Respondent. | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | |
| 17 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the | | | | | | |
| 18 | above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: | | | | | | |
| 19 | <u>PARTIE</u> | <u>S</u> | | | | | |
| 20 | 1. Carol Sigmann is the Executive Officer of the California Board of | | | | | | |
| 21 | Accountancy (Complainant) brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented | | | | | | |
| 22 | in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Sterling | | | | | | |
| 23 | A. Smith, Deputy Attorney General. | | | | | | |
| 24 | 2. Respondent John Goodell Joh | n Goodell (Respondent) is representing | | | | | |
| 25 | himself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exe | rcise his right to be represented by legal | | | | | |
| 26 | counsel. | | | | | | |
| 27 | 3. On or about July 30, 1982, the | e California Board of Accountancy ("Board") | | | | | |
| 28 | issued Certified Public Accountant License No. 35366 to John Goodell (Respondent). The | | | | | | |

///

///

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. AC-2007-41 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on August 7, 2007. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. AC-2007-41 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. AC-2007-41. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. AC-2007-41.
- 9. Respondent agrees that his Certified Public Accountant License No. 35366 is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION

10. Respondent John Goodell has never been the subject of any disciplinary action. He is admitting responsibility at an early stage in the proceedings and has fully cooperated in the Board's investigation of this matter.

CONTINGENCY

- understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Certified Public Accountant License No. 35366 issued to Respondent John Goodell is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. **Obey All Laws.** Respondent shall obey all federal, California, other states' and local laws, including those rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in California.

- 2. **Submit Written Reports.** Respondent shall submit, within ten (10) days of completion of the quarter, written reports to the Board on a form obtained from the Board. The Respondent shall submit, under penalty of perjury, such other written reports, declarations, and verification of actions as are required. These declarations shall contain statements relative to Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Respondent shall immediately execute all release of information forms as may be required by the Board or its representatives.
- 3. **Personal Appearances.** Respondent shall, during the period of probation, appear in person at interviews/meetings as directed by the Board or its designated representatives, provided such notification is accomplished in a timely manner:
- 4. **Comply With Probation.** Respondent shall fully comply with the terms and conditions of the probation imposed by the Board and shall cooperate fully with representatives of the Board in its monitoring and investigation of the Respondent's compliance with probation terms and conditions.
- 5. **Practice Investigation.** Respondent shall be subject to, and shall permit, practice investigation of the Respondent's professional practice. Such a practice investigation shall be conducted by representatives of the Board, provided notification of such review is accomplished in a timely manner.
- 6. **Comply With Citations.** Respondent shall comply with all final orders resulting from citations issued by the Board.
- Respondent should leave California to reside or practice outside this state, Respondent must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of non-California residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period, or of any suspension. No obligation imposed herein, including requirements to file written reports, reimburse the Board costs, or make restitution to consumers, shall be suspended or otherwise affected by such periods of out-of-state residency or practice except at the written direction of the Board.

- 8. Violation of Probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or a petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 9. **Completion of Probation.** Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's license will be fully restored.
- (24) hours of professional education courses as specified by the Board or its designee at the time of Respondent's first probation appearance. The professional education courses shall be completed within a period of time designated and specified in writing by the Board or its designee, which time-frame shall be incorporated as a condition of this probation. This shall be in addition to the eighty (80) hours of continuing education requirements for re-licensing or license renewal.

Failure to satisfactorily complete the required courses as scheduled or failure to complete same no later than 100 days prior to the termination of probation shall constitute a violation of probation.

- 11. Active License Status. Respondent shall at all times maintain an active license status with the Board, including during any period of suspension. If the license is expired at the time the Board's decision becomes effective, the license must be renewed within 30 days of the effective date of the decision.
- 12. **Cost Reimbursement.** Respondent shall reimburse the Board \$18,000. for its investigation and prosecution costs. The payment shall be made by quarterly payments over a period of thirty (30) months submitted concurrently with quarterly written reports.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my CPA. I enter into this Stipulated

| - 1 | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be | | | | | |
| 2 | bound by the Decision and Order of the Board. | | | | | |
| 3 | DATED: 1/7/08 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | JOHN GOODELL | | | | | |
| 6 | Respondent | | | | | |
| 7 | ENDORSEMENT | | | | | |
| 8 | The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully | | | | | |
| 9 | submitted for consideration by the Board. | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | DATED: Jay 23, 2008 | | | | | |
| 12 | EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California | | | | | |
| 13 | ARTHUR D. TAGGART | | | | | |
| 14 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General | | | | | |
| 15 | A | | | | | |
| 16 | Hely Suite | | | | | |
| 17 | STERLING A. SMITH Deputy Attorney General | | | | | |
| 18 | Attorneys for Complainant | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | DOJ Matter ID: SA2007101300 Stipulated Settlement.wpd | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 2.5 | | | | | | |

Exhibit A Accusation No. AC-2007-41

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California 2 ARTHUR D. TAGGART Supervising Deputy Attorney General STERLING A. SMITH, State Bar No. 84287 3 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 323-3795 Facsimile: (916) 324-5567 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE 9 CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. AC-2007-41 12 JOHN LOUIS GOODELL 1435 River Park Drive, Suite 400 13 Sacramento, CA 95815 ACCUSATION 14 Certified Public Accountant License No. 35366 15 Respondent. 16 17 Complainant alleges: 18 **PARTIES** Carol Sigmann (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official 19 1. capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy. 20 2.1 2. On or about July 30, 1982, the California Board of Accountancy issued Certified Public Accountant License No. 35366 to John Louis Goodell (Respondent). The 22 Certified Public Accountant License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the 23 charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2007, unless renewed. At all relevant times, 24 Respondent was a partner in the accounting firm of Goodell, Porter & Fredericks, LLP. 25 26 /// 27 111 28

20°

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the California Board of Accountancy ("Board") under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code ("Code").
- 4. Section 5100 of the Code provides that, after notice of hearing, the Board "may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct which includes "dishonesty, fraud, gross negligence, or repeated negligent acts committed in the same or different engagements, for the same or different clients, or any combination of engagements or clients. each resulting in a violation of applicable professional standards that indicate a lack of competency in the practice of public accountancy..."
- 5. Section 5107 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board's Executive Officer may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct any holder of a permit or certificate, found to have committed a violation or violations of this chapter to pay to the Board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case, including, but not limited, to attorney's fees.
- 6. Section 118, subdivision(b) of the Code provides that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

7. At all relevant times, Marin Schools Insurance Authority ("MSIA") was and now is a State of California Joint Powers Agency established as a cooperative program of self-funding and risk management of property and liability, workers' compensation, employee vision and dental for Marin County public education agencies. MSIA pools the contributions of participants and uses them to purchase insurance coverage for workers' compensation, property damage, and liability claims. MSIA also uses such contributions to fund liabilities for claims up to the deductibles for such claims, with employee vision and dental contributions used entirely

for self-insurance purposes. The health care plans are fully insured, and premium payments are billed to each participant district directly from the insurers.

- 8. In or about 2002-2003, MSIA began to offer health care programs, including vision and dental, which were administered by Keenan and Associates. Health care premiums and fees were directly billed to MSIA participants by carriers and administrator Keenan and Associates. At all relevant times, Workers Compensation claims were administered for MSIA by ESIS. No fiscal transactions passed through MSIA, and no health care fund was maintained by MSIA.
- 9. Some time before August 2003, Respondent was engaged by MSIA to perform an audit of MSIA's financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, in accordance with audit standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller of the United States. At all relevant times, Respondent was the engagement partner of and had final responsibility for the MSIA audit.
- Auditor's Report on Financial Statements" for MSIA for the fiscal year ending on June 30, 2003. ("the Audit Report"), together with an "Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards." Respondent represented in the Audit Report, without qualification or reservation, that MSIA's financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MSIA as of June 30, 2003, and that the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended were in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(For Gross Negligence)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100(c) of the Code in that he committed acts of gross negligence in performing the audit of MSIA and in preparation of the Audit Report by failing to comply with generally accepted auditing standards

- Respondent failed to adequately plan the MSIA audit or properly (a) supervise his assistants;
- (b) Respondent's work papers do not document that the audit engagement was adequately planned, to include consideration of MSIA's volatile business climate (particularly with respect to workers' compensation claims) during its 2002-2003 fiscal year, consideration of business conditions that required modification of audit testing, or collection/testing of evidential matter regarding the claims administration services provided for MSIA by claims administrators Keenan and Associates and ESIS, so as to afford a reasonable basis for Respondent's unqualified opinion.
- (c) Respondent relied upon outdated and/or inadequate information to calculate MSIA's liability for workers' compensation claims, failed to perform testing of relevant claim procedures by ESIS and failed to obtain competent evidential matter available to Respondent, resulting in Respondent's acceptance of financial statements that included an understatement of such liabilities (including claim adjustment expenses) of approximately \$5.1 million.
- (d) Respondent relied upon outdated and/or inadequate information to calculate MSIA's liabilities for vision and dental claims, failed to perform testing of relevant claim procedures by claims administrator Keenan and Associates and failed to obtain competent evidential matter available to Respondent, resulting in Respondent's acceptance of financial statements that included an understatement of such liabilities (including claim adjustment expenses) by approximately \$15,143 for vision care claims and \$378,463 for dental claims.
- The Audit Report failed to set forth any qualification of (e) Respondent's stated opinion that MSIA's financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MSIA at June 30, 2003, despite the lack of competent evidential matter regarding MSIA's liabilities for workers' compensation, dental and vision claims.

3

4

5

6

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

23 24

25

26

27

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

y30,2007 DATED:

CAROL SIGMANN Executive Officer

California Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant